Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,893	KNUTSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALEXANDER JAMAL	2614
All Participants:	Status of Application: NF rejection	
(1) <u>ALEXANDER JAMAL</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Jackson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 March 2010</u>	Time: <u>12pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN	EDAL NATURE OF WHAT W	MAS DISCUISSED:
The examiner reccommended claim amednemnts to get over to		VAG DIGGGGGED.
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a we e record of the substance of	the interview, since the interview
/Alexander Jamal/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614		
	(Applicant/Applicant's Represe	entative Signature – if appropriate)